CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted
directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit
manager.

	manager.				
•	Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted direct where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the	ectly to the ap	the CalFresh Policy ar propriate CalFresh Bure	nalyst as: eau unit r	signed responsibility to the county manager.
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5.	DATE OF REQUEST:		NEED RESPONSE BY:
	Policy/Regulation Interpretation		11/26/12		
	☑ QC	6.	COUNTY/ORGANIZATION		ncy of Human Services
	☐ Fair Hearing	7.	SUBJECT:	iity/ Age	ricy of Fluman Services
	Other:	/.	MCE/BBCE HH with	n IPV me	ember
2.	REQUESTOR NAME:	8.	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).		
3.	PHONE NO.:		USDA Memo dated 12/31/2011 63-301.74		
4.	REGULATION CITE(S):				
0	OUESTION, (INCLUDE SCENADIO E NEEDED FOR CLADITY).				
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY): In the State of California, Are the resources of a household t	I 41 4 :	4	l= b===:	as of MOE/DDOE evaluated if a
	member of the household receives an intentional program vi	violati	on (IPV)?		
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:				
	In general, we understand that categorically eligible shall not be considered for a household with a disqualified member an intentional Program violation as specified in Section 63-805. which means that such HH shall meet all the eligibility conditions including the resource limit. However, the USDA memo I referenced urges the States not to count the resources of any of the individuals in the HH, when there is a member with IPV status. Please clarify				
					•
	·				
	OTATE POLICY DESCRIPTION OF ASERS HOS ON MI				
11,	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):				
	As stated in USDA Memo dated January 31, 2011, 'In a State household benefits, the State must exclude the resources of				
FOR CDSS USE					
DA	ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:				
		100			